



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Additional amounts owing to the Appellant - Travel allowance

3 messages

Montreuil, Samantha <Samantha.Montreuil@ottawa.ca>

Fri, Oct 20, 2023 at 10:19 AM

To: "sbt.registrar@ontario.ca" <sbt.registrar@ontario.ca>

Cc: "King Sean, House von Dehn" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>, "Forget, Caroline" <caroline.forget@ottawa.ca>

Dear Registrar

The Appellant has raised the issue of his travel allowance which appears to have been cut off at the same time as his special diet allowance in September 2022. The Administrator is not aware of any evidence that the Appellant was notified that the allowance would end and in the attached decision letter regarding the medical travel allowance, it appears that the Administrator told the Appellant that the benefit would continue until a review was completed. The review was completed in December 2022. The Administrator concedes that the decision to cut off the travel allowance in 2022 was incorrect and that the Appellant should have received benefits in October, November and December 2022. While the special medical allowance was issued for October after the Appellant raised his concerns, the medical travel allowance was not.

Accordingly, the Administrator owes the Appellant three months of medical travel allowance at a rate of 119.50 per month for a total of 358.50. This is in addition to the two months of special diet allowance for November and December 2022 for a total of \$382 previously conceded by the Administrator in its email yesterday. Accordingly, the Administrator will pay \$740.50 to the Appellant.

The Administrator confirms its position that these are the only amounts owing to the Appellant and that the Appellant is not entitled to benefits for the months of January and February for the reasons outlined in the Administrator's submissions.

I sincerely apologize for the added confusion in this already complicated file. I note that no pre-hearing conference took place for this matter and would have likely been of assistance in resolving these issues earlier.

I trust the above will be sufficient for the Tribunal's purposes. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or wish to discuss further.

Sincerely,

Samantha Montreuil

Associate Legal Counsel - Conseillère juridique

[Legal Services](#) | [Services juridiques](#)[City Manager's Office](#) | [Bureau du directeur municipal](#)[City of Ottawa](#) | [Ville d'Ottawa](#)[110 Laurier Avenue West, 3rd floor W](#)

Ottawa, Ontario (Mail code 01-83)

K1P 1J1

Tel. 613.580.2424 x 24004

Fax. 613.560.1383


CITY OF OTTAWA - CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

This message is intended for the addressees only. It may contain confidential or privileged information. No rights to privilege have been waived. Any copying, retransmittal, taking of action in reliance on, or other use of the information in this communication by persons other than the addressees is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply to the sender by e-mail and delete or destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Si vous avez reçu le message par erreur, veuillez m'en aviser par téléphone (au numéro précité) ou par courriel, puis supprimer sans délai la version originale de la communication ainsi que toutes ses copies. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

 **Admin letter Medical t and t October 2020.pdf**
172K

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 20, 2023 at 10:46 AM

To: "Montreuil, Samantha" <Samantha.Montreuil@ottawa.ca>

Cc: "sbt.registrar@ontario.ca" <sbt.registrar@ontario.ca>, "Forget, Caroline" <caroline.forget@ottawa.ca>

NOTICE OF CRIMINAL LIABILITY BREACH OF TRUST WITH MALICIOUS, CRIMINAL INTENT

The decision to revoke Benefits was done in VIOLATION of a TRUST INSTRUMENT on file with Ontario Works since JULY OF 2019!!! Continous determination to IGNORE the Trust Instrument and suggest that the Ontario Works Act is NOT subject to Canada's Criminal Code for malicious, unlawful breach of Trust (conceded to in the attached email by Samantha Montreuil) that was revoked WITHOUT NOTICE TO THE BENEFICIARY IN OCTOBER when it was not scheduled to be revoked until January.

To suggest that Trust Instrument is NOT binding upon Ontario Works IS **a criminal trespass and simply NOT TRUE!!!**

Nothing in the Ontario Works Act absolves the public Trustee from Honouring a Trust Instrument on file with their office, nor does it have the FORCE OF LAW to compel the

Beneficiary to violate a Trust obligation under threat of economic harm. Sean ONLY complied with the Order UNDER DURESS AND WITH EXTREME PREJUDICE for their Willful, criminal intent to IGNORE the Trust Instrument previously Honoured and admitted by the Respondent in their submitted materials (see the previous medical form where Sean signs as the authority and health care practitioner). These Trust obligations were previously recognized, Honoured, and then REVOKED because they were placed on Notice for Breach of Trust for breach of Colleen Lynch's privacy, and they retaliated by revoking Sean's medical benefits, then compelled him under threat of economic harm to VIOLATE his Trust Instrument.

This is a SERIOUS CRIMINAL OFFENCE, PERPETRATED WITH INTENT to cause harm.

To suggest that this Tribunal can make a finding on the 'correctness' of the decision BEFORE determining if the Ontario Works Act has the force of Law to do ANYTHING that violates a Trust Instrument on file with thier office, is patently incorrect and unreasonable.

Those Will be My grounds for an appeal to a Divisional Court unless Brian Killick, Samantha Montreuil, Caroline Forget or any other individual who bears witness to these facts, if You cannot explain to Me why the Ontario Works Act is NOT subject to the Trust Instrument, Canada's Criminal Code, the Trustee Act of Ontario, or the Ontario Human Rights Code - all of which consider Willful trespass upon a Testamentary Instrument a federal offense. To do so with INTENT, is criminal.

To base the correctness of a decision according to the Ontario Works Act BEFORE determining whether the Ontario Works Act has the FORCE OF LAW to violate a Trust Instrument and the Trustees obligations, is patently unreasonable and incorrect. It is putting the cart before the proverbial horse.

Why does Samantha Montreuil believe that the Ontario Works Act is not subject to the Trustee Act of Ontario or the Trust Instrument on file? These arguments HAVE NOT been addressed yet, and no correctness regarding this Matter can be made until Ontario Works proves beyond a shadow of doubt that it has the force of Law to trespass upon My Trust obligations with criminal intent to cause economic harm.

I require a response to this today, or You Will all be charged and fined in Your personal, private capacity because this is evidence of a lawyer/liar of the law society gaslighting a Trust Instrument with intent to cause Me further emotional, Spiritual, psychological and economic harm. CONTRA BONOS MORES - AGAINST GOOD MORALS (and unlawful).

This is the submission for the rights violation as it appears I am not protected by Canada's criminal code and criminal conduct causing harm perpetrated against Me with malicious intent to trespass upon My Trust obligation in contravention of Canada's criminal code.

This is disgraceful conduct, and I am sick and tired of Samantha GASLIGHTING and pretending she does not know or understand Trust Law when she is public defender for the Public Trustee. Not a believable argument. She KNOWS the Trust Instrument immediately inherits jurisdiction and is attempting to gaslight a Trustee with malicious, criminal intent.

She has also been served with a Notice of cease and desist because her opportunity to respond to this Appeal expired on July 15th.

Explain to Me why Ontario Works Trustees are not subject to Canada's criminal code for Willful intent to trespass upon a Trust Instrument, or concede that You are all criminally negligent and should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of Canadian law and aggravated circumstances.

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 20, 2023 at 10:57 AM

To: "Montreuil, Samantha" <Samantha.Montreuil@ottawa.ca>

Cc: "sbt.registrar@ontario.ca" <sbt.registrar@ontario.ca>, "Forget, Caroline" <caroline.forget@ottawa.ca>

Unless any One related to this Claim can explain why the Trustee Act of Ontario does NOT apply to Ontario Works, You Will all be Noted in Default Judgement, 'Nihil Dicit, Res Judicata' for Willful intent to trespass upon My Express Trust in God, and on Record with Ontario Works since July 2017. I have been more than patient, this degree of incompetence is malicious negligence and My belief if that it being done with criminal intent.

I Will be as King for a \$1 million dollar fine against Samantha Montreuil, Caroline Forget, and Brian Killick because this degree of incompetence or ignorance is not believable, it can only be Willful intent to gaslight and allow further criminal trespass upon My Trust obligations.

You are hereby Served,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean
On His Majesty's Service

[Quoted text hidden]