



King Sean, House von Dehn &lt;gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com&gt;

## Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability and Notice of Claim

1 message

King Sean, House von Dehn &lt;gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com&gt;

Fri, Mar 17, 2023 at 10:37 PM

To: Neil Milton <nmilton@miltonsip.com>, nmilton@ontario-probate.ca, "Crisman-Cox, Christopher" <ccrismancox@millerthomson.com>, Tanja Johnson <tvondehn@icloud.com>, Tanja von Dehn Selma <tvondehn@yahoo.com>, Mike von Dehn <mvondehn@trilliumwest.com>, mike@vondehnhomes.com, Jenny Bogod <jbogod@rosensack.com>, Susan Sack <ssack@rosensack.com>, Hala Tabl <htabl@miltonsip.com>

To: Neil Milton and Christopher Crisman-Cox;  
for Tanja Johnson and Michael von Dehn, respectively,

And to: Neil Milton and Hala Tabl of Milton Estates Law,

And to: Christopher Crisman-Cox of MillerThomson LLP,

And to: Jenny Bogod and Susan Sacks of RosenSack LLP,

**You are hereby served with Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability and Notice of Claim:** for Acts of Willful Fraud and Perjury in an Estate Application with malicious intent to cause harm to the primary Beneficiary, and to interfere with, and to unfairly influence (at least two judges) in their administration of Justice in a Judicial proceeding before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice.

### 1. The *Charge* for Fraud in an Estate Application

#### Fraud

**380 (1)** Every one who, *by deceit, falsehood or other fraudulent means*, whether or not it is a false pretence within the meaning of this Act, *defrauds the public or any person, whether ascertained or not, of any property, money or valuable security or any service*,

**(a)** is guilty of an *indictable offence* and *liable to a term of imprisonment not exceeding fourteen years*, where the **subject-matter of the offence** is a *testamentary instrument* or *the value of the subject-matter of the offence exceeds five thousand dollars*; or

**(b)** is guilty

**(i)** of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, or

**(ii)** of an offence punishable on summary conviction,

where the value of the subject-matter of the offence does not exceed five thousand dollars.

#### Marginal note:Minimum punishment

**(1.1)** When a person is prosecuted on indictment and convicted of one or more offences referred to in subsection (1), the court that imposes the sentence shall impose a minimum punishment of imprisonment for a term of two years if the total value of the subject-matter of the offences exceeds one million dollars.

#### Marginal note:Affecting public market

**(2)** Every one who, by deceit, falsehood or other fraudulent means, whether or not it is a false pretence within the meaning of this Act, with intent to defraud, affects the public market price of

stocks, shares, merchandise **or anything that is offered for sale to the public is guilty of an indictable offence** and **liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding fourteen years**. Now, I advised Christopher Crisman-Cox and Neil Milton for Michael von Dehn and Tanja Johnson respectively (and very recently), that by **witnessing** My sister's lawyer **knowingly** perpetrate fraud on a Superior Court of Record with (criminal) intent (mens rae) to defame their Brother's Character and influence Justice, **supporting the fraud** in their own Statements of Defense which were included with RosenSack's Rule 21 Motion materials, **they are effectively colluding and conspiring with the fraudsters**.

Just to Give You an Idea how harmful Court fraud is upon the Man it is perpetrated, when such a fraud is continuous for a period of as long as three to four years, it may well be considered aggravated circumstances according to Canada's Criminal Code.

### Sentencing — aggravating circumstances

**380.1 (1)** Without limiting the generality of section 718.2, where a court imposes a sentence for an offence referred to in section 380, 382, 382.1 or 400, it shall consider the following as aggravating circumstances:

- (a) **the magnitude, complexity, duration or degree of planning of the fraud committed was significant;**
- (b) **the offence adversely affected, or had the potential to adversely affect, the stability of the Canadian economy or financial system or any financial market in Canada or investor confidence in such a financial market;**
- (c) **the offence involved a large number of victims;**
- (c.1) **the offence had a significant impact on the victims given their personal circumstances including their age, health and financial situation;**
- (d) **in committing the offence, the offender took advantage of the high regard in which the offender was held in the community;**
- (e) **the offender did not comply with a licensing requirement, or professional standard, that is normally applicable to the activity or conduct that forms the subject-matter of the offence;** and
- (f) **the offender concealed or destroyed records related to the fraud or to the disbursement of the proceeds of the fraud.**

### Marginal note:Aggravating circumstance — value of the fraud

**(1.1)** Without limiting the generality of section 718.2, when a court imposes a sentence for an offence referred to in section 382, 382.1 or 400, it shall also consider as an aggravating circumstance **the fact that the value of the fraud committed exceeded one million dollars**.

### Marginal note:Non-mitigating factors

**(2)** When a court imposes a sentence for an offence referred to in section 380, 382, 382.1 or 400, **it shall not consider as mitigating circumstances the offender's employment, employment skills or status or reputation in the community if those circumstances were relevant to, contributed to, or were used in the commission of the offence**.

### Marginal note:Record of proceedings

**(3)** The court shall cause to be stated in the record the aggravating and mitigating circumstances it took into account when determining the sentence.

This is another reason no judge is 'above the Law'. Fraud voids all contracts. This is also why this email is also addressed to Jenny Bogod and Susan Sacks because regardless of what they believe to be True about the unlawful 'decision', it is void because it's fraud, and You are both liable to Me in Your personal capacity. You are subject to the Rule of Law and need to have Your licenses revoked along with Hala Tabl and the rest. Are the 'skills' of lawyers a contributing factor in the Court Fraud? I am thing King that the answer to that would be yes, and a serious violation of Your code of conduct. But I suppose We can test that now because this is an official Notice of Claim duly served upon You in accordance with the Rule of Law in any Common Law Jurisdiction, and now You have a legal and lawful obligation to let Your insurance provider know that there is a claim upon Your performance bond for professional misconduct. Failing to do so Will be another Act of contempt, and that applies to all lawyers receiving this email.

[In a Rule 21 Motion hearing to dismiss the Claims against Hala Tabl, on November 3rd, 2022](#), Jenny Bogod and Susan Sacks of RosenSack LLP, and on behalf of Hala Tabl, presented a Statement of Defense and oral testimony they knew to be false with intent to unfairly influence the course of Justice, and with intent to defame the Character of the primary Beneficiary and the nature of the Trust Claim.

Susan Sacks and Jenny Bogod unabashedly and shamelessly reported to the Court Justice, Jaye Hooper, that due process had already been had in the Estate Application, and that the Claim against Tanja Johnson, her lawyer, Hala Tabl, and Michael von Dehn was a 'colossal attack' on the Court process and the Endorsement of a Superior Court Justice, Annette Casullo, **despite knowing that no documents had been filed or processed with the Court at the time of their testimony**, and that Sean had **no knowledge of the Casullo Endorsement at the time he drafted the Claim**, and despite the fact that **there is no mention of the Casullo Endorsement in the Claimant's Statement of Claim**.

These crimes were reported to the Justice [at the Motion hearing](#), at which time Sean made it very clear both by Way of his oral testimony and [the Reply Factum duly filed with the Court and served upon each of the Respondents](#), that the entire testimony made by Susan Sacks at the Motion hearing was a testimony of fraud, and that **no application had been processed by the Court at the time of the Motion hearing**. This is also confirmed by Michelle Murphy of the Bracebridge Courthouse who indicates that the Estate Application is 'not open to the public', and Sean Will not be entitled to disclosure of any documents 'filed' with the Court until AFTER a Certificate Issues. This shows collusion between Hala Tabl and Michelle Murphy to exclude Sean from the proceedings by 'accepting' documents for an Estate application, but agreeing not to 'file' or 'process' the Application to Keep it off the public Record. **All parties with an interest in the outcome of any judicial proceeding are required to be Given Notice of any hearing concerning their interests - this is an indispensable Principle in Law**. Sean's right to a fair and public hearing regarding his father's estate has been violated with malicious intent by Hala Tabl, Tanja Johnson, and Michael von Dehn.

I have been as King **all seven of You** to provide proof of service of the Casullo Endorsement upon Sean von Dehn and not one of You have even responded. I Writ a Reply Factum that not one lawyer responded to, and it was served upon all of You in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure. It is presumed in Law that every statement made is presumed to be True until it is rebut by opposing counsel - again, another very basic *Principle* of Law. The Reply Factum stands as fact on this Court of Record because it thus far remains undisputed and unopposed.

### **The Charge for Contempt of Court**

Consider that seven individuals are conspiring against Me, and five of those individuals are lawyers. Consider again that after repeatedly as King of You to provide proof of service of the Casullo Endorsement on Sean von Dehn and advising all parties that failing to do so Will be considered conspiracy to perpetrate the fraud on the Court with (*criminal*) *intent* to alter the course of Justice in an official judicial proceeding, and with malicious intent to defame the Character of the Trustee, and the nature of the Trust Claim. Again, I advised that these are very serious charges and any One who cannot explain why there is no Court of Record for the alleged Estate Application, why none of the documents have been **processed** by the Court yet, why the assigned file number does not identify any Estate Application in the system, or why no One has proof of service of the Casullo Endorsement on either Sean or Michael, apparently! Both proof of service of Notice of the hearing, and Notice of the Endorsement on all parties with an interest in the outcome (including Tiffany!) are **required** before the Application Record can be filed (**processed**) by the Court!

Now, I suppose You could say You had no knowledge of Tiffany's contentious claim upon the Estate, but Michael has just sworn an Affidavit stating that Tanja and Michael have both known about Tiffany's belief in her right to Act as Estate Trustee since the first application (but didn't bother to Give Tiffany Notice of the Application, either). And Michael kept a testamentary instrument from Sean for two years, threatening to destroy the document if Sean makes an application or is appointed by the Court by default, and now Wishes for Us to believe that isn't True, he kept it to himself for the duration of his sister's first application because he didn't think it was relevant or important for Sean to know about, then told him

about it after she withdrew her application but didn't send it to him for another two years. Probably hanging onto it for Good Luck, I suppose...

The fact that I've been as King for these documents from You and You just continue to ignore My emails and My request for proof of Your allegations against Me **is a serious abuse of Court process!!!** The only reason I was compelled to bring My dear brother and sister into Court in the first place is because they refused to share disclosure with Me regarding the Estate, and lied about virtually everything they did tell Me. Michael told Sean he didn't think the entire Estate was worth more than \$100,000.00, and that was months after Tanja had had an appraisal for \$173,000.00 'as is' in terrible condition, which I am only now learning as a result of filing this Claim against all of You! If I hadn't filed this Claim, I don't believe I would know a damn thing to this day!!! But they couldn't tell Sean that because it wouldn't qualify as a 'small, uncontentious proceeding' that Way. Fraud. They lied about the mortgage being in default when they requested My consent to Tanja's application. They promised Tanja would incur any legal fees if she received the Certificate, and not use the position to take advantage of Sean. Just read the first application and affidavit of Tanja Johnson. I don't need to prove anything, there is nothing from Me on the Record at that point, I don't even know about this Application, have no Idea what My sister is as King the Justice for until after the Endorsement is provided. And if Tanja didn't tell Michael what she was going to be as King of the Justice to do to her dear Brother Sean, then she also deceived Michael (fraud). If she *didn't* deceive Michael and Michael was aware of what Tanja was saying about her Brother and as King of the Justice to make Sean pay the Court fees to be excluded, supporting Tanja's application with **informed consent**, then We have proof of malicious intent on the part of Michael as well. There is fraud in both of Tanja's applications, and they need to be reported to the Court.

I also advised all of You that in addition to punitive and compensatory damages that I am as King for, I Will also be as King for all lawyers to have their licenses revoked, and for each lawyer's firm to be fined \$1,000,000.00 as a deterrent against future violations by other members of the firm. I Will be as King for special damages to be awarded against Milton Estates Law because I believe they are also conspiring with the National Bank, though I still require more inform a Sean to be sure.

You are all so impudent and rude, You never respond to anything, You never respect My position of Trustee in relation to this Trust, and that is also contempt of Court and professional misconduct. I am SO done with the abuse by all of You, all future motions made to the Court Will be made in private, though I Promise I Will not be violating Rule 1.09, Your situation provides for Special circumstances.

However, if You do Wish to acknowledge that this a Real Trust with Real Trust obligations and benefits for its Beneficiaries, You can reach out to Me before the end of the weekend to discuss resolute Sean options, avoid criminal prosecution, and potentially even maintain Your license without any 'black marks' on Your Record.

If I don't hear from You by the end of the weekend, I'm just going to presume You Wish to be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the Law. You Will receive a Final Notice before Default Judgment, 'Nihil Dicit' (he says nothing) is awarded against You without any further Notice to You.

You are hereby legally and lawfully served, this [Notice Will be Published on the International Public Record](#) at [www.vondehnvisuals.com](http://www.vondehnvisuals.com).

Please also be advised, this is a formal Notice of Claim against RosenSack separate from any other Claim. This is new harm done by Your firm to the Beneficiary, and a new 'Cause of Action' for the claim against Your firm.

Love and Blessings, You Will need both,  
King Sean, House von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean  
For the Benefit of Sean von Dehn and Joachim Heinrich von Dehn  
On His Majesty's Service