



vonDehnVision &lt;gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com&gt;

---

**CJC File: 21-0261**

4 messages

---

info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>  
To: "gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Mon, Nov 15, 2021 at 11:06 AM

Dear Mr. von Dehn,

Please see attached letter from the Canadian Judicial Council.

Thank you,

Josée Gauthier

Registry Officer /

Greffière

Canadian Judicial Council /

Conseil canadien de la magistrature

Tel: 613-288-1566

---

 **21-0261 Letter to Mr. Sean von Dehn 2021-11-15.pdf**  
92K

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
To: info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>

Mon, Nov 15, 2021 at 11:23 AM

Dear Josee Gauthier,

You 'people' can certainly be very arrogant, can't You? Did You read the complaint? If You did, You Will note that one of the main complaints is being addressed by the prefix 'Mr.'. I don't like it, it is not My legal or lawful title, and I find it offensive. So to address Me that Way is arrogant, discourteous, and disrespectful.

Also, while I am clear that the judge was NOT impartial, completely recharacterizes statement of the claim which is clear and self evident on its face simply by comparing the two documents, that is NOT My main reason for the complaint. My main reason for filing the complaint, is that it was not sanctioned by the court!!!

This determination was a personal favour to the city of Ottawa outside of the court process. It is very clear that the court indicated they are not entertaining the request to dismiss under Rule 2.1.01(6), and that defense counsel could file a motion. They never did. They were noted in default, and were about to be noted in default a second time. I have two letters from Ashley Moniz Andrade, the first indicating that the email was not a being considered by the court because it was not a proper filing, and a second instructing Me to serve My ex-parte motion on the defendants, along with a clean draft Order to vacate and suspend the judge.

I know You like to protect Your kind, but Sally Gomery is liable because she was not asked to make this determination by the court, she made it as personal favour to the city of Ottawa outside of the court process, and this has already been established.

Did You not understand the material, or are You suggesting it is perfectly acceptable for the city of Ottawa to privately petition judges to make determinations in their favour and outside of the court process?

I look forward to Your reply,

King Sean,  
House von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
Kingdom of God.

This is the second time the city of Ottawa has done this.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
To: info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>

Mon, Nov 15, 2021 at 11:33 AM

Hello again, Josee,

Furthermore, it doesn't seem very 'impartial' and 'objective' for justices and judges to be reviewing the conduct of justices and judges, just the same as it doesn't seem impartial that police review the police, and the city reviews the city (whenever there is an official complaint through the proper processes). I don't believe judges are capable of being unbiased toward the conduct of other judges, and Your letter proves My point.

Have a good day,

King Sean,  
House von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
Kingdom of God,  
On Her Majesty's Service

And notice of civil and criminal liability has been served upon Sally at both the provincial and federal level, and if the order is not vacated and or she does not dispute the Notice, default judgement Will be awarded against her on this Common Law court of Record.

As I'm sure You know, these letters and Your failure to provide a reasonable response to Sally's criminal acts Will be published on the International Court of Record at [www.vondehnvisuals.com](http://www.vondehnvisuals.com)

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
To: info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>

Mon, Nov 15, 2021 at 11:49 AM

Josee,

Here's a suggestion. Why don't You just forward a copy of the requisition from the Court to Sally A. Gomery, asking her to make a determination in response to defense counsel's email request? Surely, if this determination was sanctioned by the Court, there Will be a paper trail, someone must have 'assigned' this determination to Sally. Under the right to freedom of information and full disclosure regarding My case, I would like to see that requisition from the motions office to Sally A. Gomery.

If the Courts cannot produce such a requisition, than I believe that the email correspondence between the city of Ottawa and the judge be subpoenaed so We can determine how exactly this Matter came before Sally in the first place. I presume judges don't just take it upon themselves to go into the court database, pick out files they Wish to make judgements on, and then do so?

11/15/21, 4:04 PM

Gmail - CJC File: 21-0261

There are a lot of criminal and unethical acts to explain here, including a default judgment being overturned without My knowledge or notice to Me. You don't find that suspicious?

Thank You

[Quoted text hidden]