



vonDehnVision &lt;gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com&gt;

---

**CV-21-86803, Sean von Dehn and the city of Ottawa, Sana Abou-Arraj, Christine Amaro and Celia North**

14 messages

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 31, 2021 at 6:23 AM

To: "Langlais, Geneviève" &lt;Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca&gt;, "Fenner, Tasha" &lt;Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca&gt;

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" &lt;ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca&gt;, Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca, info &lt;info@cjc-ccm.ca&gt;

Dear Geneviève and Tasha,

Notice of Motion to Vacate the Order by Justice Sally A. Gomery is attached. Please advise Me if You Wish to oppose this Motion. If You do not respond before Friday, September 3rd, it Will be presumed this Motion is unopposed and this email Will be included in My Motion Record as affidavit of Service upon You.

Thank You,  
Have a Blessed day,  
Sean von Dehn,  
King Sean,  
House von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
Kingdom of God,  
On Her Majesty's Service

**Notice of Motion - Google Docs.pdf**

76K

---

**Langlais, Geneviève** <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>

Tue, Aug 31, 2021 at 11:58 AM

To: vonDehnVision &lt;gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com&gt;

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" &lt;ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca&gt;, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" &lt;Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca&gt;, info &lt;info@cjc-ccm.ca&gt;, "Fenner, Tasha" &lt;Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca&gt;, "Wright, Jeremy" &lt;Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca&gt;

Good morning,

we acknowledge receipt of your draft Order sent yesterday and your Notice of Motion sent today, August 31, 2021. Both documents are attached for ease of reference. We will be opposing this Motion.

We are of the view that, pursuant to the *Courts of Justice Act*, the Ruling made by Justice S. Gomery, on July 19, 2021 and attached hereto, is final.

We recommend you seek legal advice on this matter including the appropriate forum for any motion or appeal of the Ruling. I have attached potential legal resources that may be available to you.

Regards,

Geneviève Langlais  
Legal Counsel - Conseillère juridique  
City of Ottawa, Innovative Client Services Dept.  
[110 Laurier Avenue West, 3rd floor](#)  
[Ottawa K1P 1J1](#) (mail code 01-83)  
tel. (613) 580-2424, ext. 22408

fax. (613) 560-1383

[genevieve.langlais@ottawa.ca](mailto:genevieve.langlais@ottawa.ca)

CITY OF OTTAWA

This message is intended for the addressees only. It may contain confidential or privileged information. No rights to privilege have been waived. Any copying, retransmittal, taking of action in reliance on, or other use of the information in this communication by persons other than the addressees is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply to the sender by e-mail and delete or destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Si vous avez reçu le message par erreur, veuillez m'en aviser par téléphone (au numéro précité) ou par courriel, puis supprimer sans délai la version originale de la communication ainsi que toutes ses copies. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

---

**From:** vonDehnVision <[gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com](mailto:gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, August 31, 2021 6:23 AM

**To:** Langlais, Geneviève <[Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca](mailto:Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca)>; Fenner, Tasha <[Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca](mailto:Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca)>

**Cc:** Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <[ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca](mailto:ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca)>; [Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca](mailto:Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca)  
<[Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca](mailto:Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca)>; info <[info@cjcccm.ca](mailto:info@cjcccm.ca)>

**Subject:** CV-21-86803, Sean von Dehn and the city of Ottawa, Sana Abou-Arraj, Christine Amaro and Celia North

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

[Quoted text hidden]

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

---

#### 4 attachments

 **Notice of Motion - Google Docs.pdf**  
76K

 **Order to Vacate - Google Docs.pdf**  
76K

 **List of Legal Resources.docx**  
18K

 **21-86803 July 19 2021 Endorsement (dismissal of action).pdf**  
102K

---

**vonDehnVision** <[gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com](mailto:gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com)>  
To: "Langlais, Geneviève" <[Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca](mailto:Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca)>

Tue, Aug 31, 2021 at 3:53 PM

Good afternoon,

Thank You for Your prompt response. As much as I appreciate the condescending tone of Your email, it seems to Me that if Sally A. Gomery's decision were final, there would be no Motion for Me to Give You Notice of, and no Motion for You to oppose. The Motion was filed with the Court in Writing on Wednesday, July 28. I think what the Courts really Wish to know, is if You have anything to say in defense of your action. You commit fraud on a Court of Record with intent to unfairly influence justice, in violation of the Rules of Civil Procedure, and under penalty of perjury. I strongly recommend [reading the Motion received by the Court](#). Those are the arguments You Will be opposing.

<https://vondehnvisuals.com/2021/07/28/motion-to-vacate-order-and-suspend-justice-sean-von-dehn-and-the-city-of-ottawa-sana-abou-arraj-christine-amaro-and-celia-north-cv-21-86803/>

Fraud and perjury are not admissible on a Court of Record in any jurisdiction. We are in a Common Law jurisdiction, and this Matter is before a Common Law Court on an International Court of Record. In Canada, false, misleading testimony on a Court of Record is also criminal offence. I'm not sure what the code or statute would be, but I'm confident a Judge Will. If the Order is Vacated, it all disappears - no harm, no foul. My *opinion* and *belief*, is that the Courts are Giving You an opportunity to undo what You did, which also absolves Sally. Otherwise, it Will appear as though Ashley Moniz Andrade was deliberately trying to deceive Me because she assured Me (on behalf of the Court) that no Motion materials had been filed as of June 25th.

The Court of Record Will show that You didn't file any Motion materials with the Court on June 22nd. So how did Sally get the Idea that You did? And how did she get the Idea that the Action was commenced on the 21st when the Court of Record and Statement of Claim show the Action was commenced on the 18th? You Will have to explain these things to a Court and these are the material facts. You Will certainly not be able to provide an affidavit of service of any intent to Motion the Court served upon Me. You continually violate Rule 1.09 of the Rules of Civil Procedure and I'm of the opinion that both as a lawyer and as a representative of the city of Ottawa, You should be aiming to set a better example. Canada's Courts are supposed to be all about transparency and fairness, and I was under the impression that the Rules of Civil Procedure were Created to protect that principle in Law and why Rule 1.09 stands alone. It's an important one.

You're the lawyer, My belief is that We are to come to an agreement as to how the Motion should be heard. I'm open to suggestions. Do We require a virtual conference call for the Motion hearing? Or do You Wish to Present a Written rebuttal to My [email] Motion (and as provided in [the link](#))? And is Your only argument that You believe the decision is final? Frankly, the irony of Your argument astounds Me.

My belief is that fraudulent, misleading testimony on a Court of Record is a criminal offence and is not admissible under any circumstance, and that the Order must be Vacated in the interest of Justice and the reputation of the Courts. You're correct, typically, a Judge's decision would be final because a Judge does not typically commit fraud and perjury on a Court of Record. The Court can't Vacate the Order until someone Motions the Court to let them know there *is* fraud and perjury on the Court of Record. So I would like to know if You are disputing the charge of false, misleading testimony and what material evidence You have to support Your arguments.

You are correct, however. If fraud, perjury and privately petitioning the Court in violation of the Rules of Civil Procedure is not considered interfering with Justice and My opportunity for a fair and impartial hearing, I am confident it Will be more than reasonable grounds for an appeal. I'm just trying to save the Courts unnecessary time and resources.

If the order is not Vacated, I Will also be filing a complaint with the bar regarding Your conduct, and Sally A. Gomery becomes liable for the wrongdoing done to Me by the Court by Way of Your influence, and You become liable for the harm You have caused to Sally A. Gomery and the reputation of the Court. I legitimately think it is in the best interest of both the Justice and the reputation of the Courts to Vacate the Order rather than risk the reputation and integrity of both. But I'm not a lawyer, what do I know?

I look forward to hearing Your suggestions and how You Wish to proceed. A little honesty and transparency from this point forward Will be most appreciated.

Regards,  
Sean von Dehn,  
King Sean,  
House of von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
Kingdom of God  
On Her Majesty's Service

[Quoted text hidden]

**Langlais, Geneviève** <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>  
To: vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>

Thu, Sep 2, 2021 at 11:10 AM

Good morning,

I confirm receipt of your below email. We will get back to you early next week.

Regards,

Geneviève Langlais  
Legal Counsel - Conseillère juridique  
City of Ottawa, Innovative Client Services Dept.  
[110 Laurier Avenue West, 3rd floor](#)  
[Ottawa K1P 1J1](#) (mail code 01-83)  
tel. (613) 580-2424, ext. 22408  
fax. (613) 560-1383  
[genevieve.langlais@ottawa.ca](mailto:genevieve.langlais@ottawa.ca)

CITY OF OTTAWA

This message is intended for the addressees only. It may contain confidential or privileged information. No rights to privilege have been waived. Any copying, retransmittal, taking of action in reliance on, or other use of the information in this communication by persons other than the addressees is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply to the sender by e-mail and delete or destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Si vous avez reçu le message par erreur, veuillez m'en aviser par téléphone (au numéro précité) ou par courriel, puis supprimer sans délai la version originale de la communication ainsi que toutes ses copies. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

---

**From:** vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 31, 2021 3:53 PM  
**To:** Langlais, Geneviève <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>  
**Subject:** Re: CV-21-86803, Sean von Dehn and the city of Ottawa, Sana Abou-Arraj, Christine Amaro and Celia North

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
To: "Langlais, Geneviève" <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>

Wed, Sep 8, 2021 at 7:37 AM

Good morning, I am confirming receipt of Your attached email dated Sep. 2nd. You said you will get back to Me early this week. I perceive Monday or Tuesday to be early in the week (Wednesday is midweek, and Thursday or Friday would be late in the week). I need to know when I can expect to hear from You and what information You Will be presenting to oppose this motion. I need to Trust You Will respond to Me when You say You Will and do as You say You Will do.

I need to hear from You as soon as possible, and once again, I require honesty and transparency from You moving forward. If You can't do that, I Will also be as King of the court to have You removed as the lawyer of record for the city.

Thank You,

Sean von Dehn,  
King Sean,  
House of von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
Kingdom of God,

**On Her Majesty's Service**

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Wright, Jeremy** <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca>

Wed, Sep 8, 2021 at 10:03 AM

To: vonDehnVision &lt;gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com&gt;

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" &lt;ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca&gt;, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" &lt;Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca&gt;, info &lt;info@cjc-ccm.ca&gt;, "Fenner, Tasha" &lt;Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca&gt;, "Smithers, Jean" &lt;Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca&gt;

Good morning Mr. von Dehn,

I have assumed carriage of this matter and will serve a Notice of Change of Lawyer shortly. I confirm the City's earlier communication that the City will be opposing your motion. Again, I repeat the City's position that Justice Gomery's decision has dismissed your claim and is a final Order. It is the City's position that accordingly your motion has no legal basis.

Could you please confirm that your materials are complete and final, so that the City can respond to them. It appears you have brought your motion in writing and the City is content to proceed in that manner.

Regards,

Jeremy Wright

Jeremy Wright | Legal Counsel | Conseiller juridique | T 613-580-2424 x 12813 | F/téléc. 613-560-1383 | [jeremy.wright@ottawa.ca](mailto:jeremy.wright@ottawa.ca)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa | Legal Services | Services juridiques | Innovative Client Services Department | Services novateurs pour la clientèle | 110 Laurier Avenue West | 110, avenue Laurier Ouest | Ottawa ON K1P 1J1

This message is intended for the addressees only. It may contain confidential or privileged information. No rights to privilege have been waived. Any copying, retransmittal, taking of action in reliance on, or other use of the information in this communication by persons other than the addressees is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply to the sender by e-mail and delete or destroy all copies of this message.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Si vous avez reçu le message par erreur, veuillez m'en aviser par téléphone (au numéro précité) ou par courriel, puis supprimer sans délai la version originale de la communication ainsi que toutes ses copies. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Wed, Sep 8, 2021 at 12:37 PM

To: "Wright, Jeremy" &lt;Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca&gt;

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" &lt;ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca&gt;, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" &lt;Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca&gt;, info &lt;info@cjc-ccm.ca&gt;, "Fenner, Tasha" &lt;Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca&gt;, "Smithers, Jean" &lt;Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca&gt;

Good morning, Mr. Wright,

Perhaps You like the prefix Mr. before Your name but I do not. If You Wish to address Me by My proper, Common name, it's 'Sean'. If You have read My email Motion to the Court, You Will know I don't appreciate the prefix 'Mr.', so to do so deliberately with knowledge of these facts is discourteous at best. Am I to presume the offense was not deliberate?

I can't tell You if My materials are complete and final until I know what Your opposing arguments are. I was not anticipating that Genevieve would oppose the Motion because it absolves her of perjury on the Court of Record. You have not disputed the false, incomplete, and deliberately misleading testimony defense counsel presented to the judge. Are You asserting that You believe fraud and perjury are admissible on a Court of Record? I would like You to answer that question directly, please.

Defense counsel also violated Rule 1.09 at least three times, interfering with My right to a fair and *impartial* hearing. So, as far as the 'legal basis' for this Motion to be heard, it is provided for by Rule 2.01(1)b of the Rules of Civil Procedure which states:

"only where and *as necessary in the interest of justice*, may set aside the proceeding or a step, document or order in the proceeding in whole or in part."

Yes, I am asserting that fraud and perjury are not admissible on a Court of Record at any time, so it *must* be stricken from the Record in the best Interest of justice and the reputation of the Courts; criminal actions are incongruent with the concept of justice and the Rule of Law. I have looked into it and what defense counsel did is a criminal offense in Canada.

You mention You believe the Motion has no 'legal' basis. I have some specific questions for You:

1. Are You suggesting there is a 'legal basis' for the fraudulent testimony of defense counsel, and that fraudulent testimony under penalty of perjury is acceptable on a Court of Record in Ontario?
2. Are You suggesting that fraud and perjury are *not* reasonable grounds to Vacate the Order in accordance with Rule 2.01(1)b?
3. Why do You believe the Rules of Civil Procedure should *not* apply in this case?
4. What jurisdiction of Law do You believe We are in?

Once You respond to these questions directly, I'll have a more informed opinion as to whether or not My Motion materials are final. These emails and Your response to these arguments Will be critical in determining how I move forward, and Will be added as additional exhibits for My Motion Record. I'm trying to resolve this with You amicably and if You can explain to Me why fraud and perjury should be acceptable in this particular case and I am reasonably convinced by Your arguments, I may choose to retract the Motion. I believe You are trying to navigate around the Issue of the previous defense counsel's fraudulent testimony by ignoring the issue and pretending like it didn't happen, or that it isn't a big deal. I also believe that is why You are taking over.

Again, I had no Idea defense counsel would Wish to oppose the Motion because it absolves her of her crime, I'm quite happy to forgive and forget, but not at the expense of My opportunity for a fair and impartial hearing. It's very difficult for Me to Trust the city, so I don't Wish to leave You with an open ended opportunity to present another fraudulent document to the Court I have no opportunity to rebut. Civil matters are not supposed to be about ambush tactics, communicate with Me like a civil Man. Tell Me how You're getting around the fraud and perjury.

Thank You, I look forward to Your response to these questions at Your earliest convenience.

Blessings,  
 Sean von Dehn,  
 King Sean,  
 House of von Dehn,  
 Hand of Stephen,  
 Kingdom of God.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Wright, Jeremy** <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca>

Wed, Sep 8, 2021 at 4:22 PM

To: vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>, info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>, "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>, "Smithers, Jean" <Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca>

Hello Sean,

Thank you for the email and I am happy to address you as Sean if that is your preference.

Can you please confirm to me what materials you are relying upon and have filed with the Court in support of your motion. I can then prepare my materials to respond to your motion, which will enable you to fully understand my position. If necessary, you can then reply to my materials.

Thank you for your cooperation in this regard.

Regards,

Jeremy

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** vonDehnVision <[gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com](mailto:gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 8, 2021 12:37:00 PM  
**To:** Wright, Jeremy <[Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca](mailto:Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca)>  
**Cc:** Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <[ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca](mailto:ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca)>; [Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca](mailto:Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca) <[Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca](mailto:Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca)>; info <[info@cjccm.ca](mailto:info@cjccm.ca)>; Fenner, Tasha <[Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca](mailto:Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca)>; Smithers, Jean <[Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca](mailto:Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: CV-21-86803, Sean von Dehn and the city of Ottawa, Sana Abou-Arraj, Christine Amaro and Celia North

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**vonDehnVision** <[gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com](mailto:gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com)> Wed, Sep 8, 2021 at 5:57 PM  
To: "Wright, Jeremy" <[Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca](mailto:Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca)>  
Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <[ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca](mailto:ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca)>, "[Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca](mailto:Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca)" <[Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca](mailto:Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca)>, info <[info@cjccm.ca](mailto:info@cjccm.ca)>, "Fenner, Tasha" <[Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca](mailto:Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca)>, "Smithers, Jean" <[Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca](mailto:Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca)>

Good afternoon, Jeremy,

I have already shared all of the materials I have presented to the Court in support of My Motion with You *so far*. You can find the Notice of Motion [here](#), and the Motion itself, [here](#). Both of these documents have been provided to You in previous emails. The Motion is rather long if You are hoping to address each point made, I very explicitly asked You four questions in My last email and indicated to You that if You can clearly answer those questions, You can save Us both a lot of time. My belief is that there is no argument You can make that justifies making false, misleading testimony to a judge with intent to influence justice - I believe that is fraud under penalty of perjury and a serious ethics violation if not a criminal offense. So if You can answer those four questions, I think that Will save Us (and the courts) a lot of time. As I mentioned in My previous email, the fact that You seem to be dancing around this Issue concerns Me. Again, these are the questions I have for You:

1. Are You suggesting there is a 'legal basis' for the fraudulent testimony of defense counsel, and that fraudulent testimony under penalty of perjury is acceptable on a Court of Record in Ontario?
2. Are You suggesting that fraud and perjury are *not* reasonable grounds to Vacate the Order in accordance with Rule 2.01(1)b?
3. Why do You believe the Rules of Civil Procedure should *not* apply in this case?
4. What jurisdiction of Law do You believe We are in?

My Motion materials Will include Your response to these four questions specifically, so I am eagerly awaiting Your response to them so I can add them to My Motion record before filing it with the Court.

Thanks again, I look forward to hearing from You as soon as possible.

Sean von Dehn,  
King Sean,

House of von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
Kingdom of God,  
(On Her Majesty's Service)

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Wright, Jeremy** <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca> Thu, Sep 9, 2021 at 4:43 PM  
To: vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>, info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>, "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>, "Smithers, Jean" <Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca>

Hello Sean,

Thank you for your email. Your links did not take me to the indicated documents. I will assume I have received everything from you and proceed in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure. Accordingly, you will receive my materials in due course which will set out the City's position on your motion.

Regards,

Jeremy

Jeremy Wright | Legal Counsel | Conseiller juridique | T 613-580-2424 x 12813 | F/téléc. 613-560-1383 | [jeremy.wright@ottawa.ca](mailto:jeremy.wright@ottawa.ca)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa | Legal Services | Services juridiques | Innovative Client Services Department | Services novateurs pour la clientèle | 110 Laurier Avenue West | 110, avenue Laurier Ouest | Ottawa ON K1P 1J1

This message is intended for the addressees only. It may contain confidential or privileged information. No rights to privilege have been waived. Any copying, retransmittal, taking of action in reliance on, or other use of the information in this communication by persons other than the addressees is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply to the sender by e-mail and delete or destroy all copies of this message.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Si vous avez reçu le message par erreur, veuillez m'en aviser par téléphone (au numéro précité) ou par courriel, puis supprimer sans délai la version originale de la communication ainsi que toutes ses copies. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

---

**From:** vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** September 08, 2021 5:57 PM  
**To:** Wright, Jeremy <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca>  
**Cc:** Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>; Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca; info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>; Fenner, Tasha <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>; Smithers, Jean <Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca>  
**Subject:** Re: CV-21-86803, Sean von Dehn and the city of Ottawa, Sana Abou-Arraj, Christine Amaro and Celia North

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Good afternoon, Jeremy,

I have already shared all of the materials I have presented to the Court in support of My Motion with You so far. You can find the Notice of Motion [here](#), and the Motion itself, [here](#). Both of these documents have been provided to You in previous emails. The Motion is rather long if You are hoping to address each point made, I very explicitly asked You four questions in My last email and indicated to You that if You can clearly answer those questions, You can save Us both a lot of time. My belief is that there is no argument You can make that justifies making false, misleading testimony to a judge with intent to influence justice - I believe that is fraud under penalty of perjury and a serious ethics violation if not a criminal offense. So if You can answer those four questions, I think that Will save Us (and the courts) a lot of time. As I mentioned in My previous email, the fact that You seem to be dancing around this Issue concerns Me. Again, these are the questions I have for You:

1. Are You suggesting there is a 'legal basis' for the fraudulent testimony of defense counsel, and that fraudulent testimony under penalty of perjury is acceptable on a Court of Record in Ontario?
2. Are You suggesting that fraud and perjury are *not* reasonable grounds to Vacate the Order in accordance with Rule 2.01(1)b?
3. Why do You believe the Rules of Civil Procedure should *not* apply in this case?
4. What jurisdiction of Law do You believe We are in?

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Thu, Sep 9, 2021 at 5:26 PM

To: "Wright, Jeremy" <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca>

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>, info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>, "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>, "Smithers, Jean" <Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca>

Hi Jeremy,

You Will not presume anything. At this point, You have not opposed the charge of fraud and perjury on the Court of Record and have not expressed to Me if You intend to do so in Your opposing materials. Again, I would like to discuss this amicably like grown men, but You don't seem to be able to tell Me why You think fraud and perjury are acceptable on a Court of Record, and that is what I am most interested in knowing.

These emails Will be added to My Motion Record as an Affidavit exhibit of My efforts to resolve this with You outside of Court. I already told You that if You can convince Me that defense counsel did not deliberately present false, misleading testimony to a justice on a Court of Record with intent to unfairly influence justice, ***I Will not proceed with My Motion.***

Otherwise, if You ***are not contesting the fraud and perjury on the Court of Record***, (and You've had over a week to do so), I'm not even interested in the rest of Your opposition materials because I believe they are irrelevant.

I also believe that continually dodging this very direct question is called stalling and is not considered 'Honourable' conduct for a lawyer. Can You not have a civil discussion with Me about the elephant in the room? Fraud and perjury are pretty serious, or is that something the city does frequently in lawsuits they don't Wish to deal with?. Just tell Me how You plan to respond to the fraudulent, misleading testimony presented by Your defense counsel. If You do not oppose the fraud and perjury, One must presume You are guilty as charged and the material evidence in that regard is pretty clear. I Will include this email as evidence of Your refusal to address this very serious question.

So is it fair to say that Your opposition to this Motion is that You do not believe fraud and perjury on a Court of Record are reasonable grounds to vacate?

And You are incorrect, I checked both links, both are working, both contain the exact content of the Motion and Notice of Motion, and the actual court documents are attached. Look again.

Thank You,

Sean von Dehn,  
King Sean,  
House von Dehn,  
Hand of Stephen,  
Kingdom of God

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Wright, Jeremy** <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca> Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 2:27 PM  
To: vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>  
Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>, info <info@cjcccm.ca>, "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>, "Smithers, Jean" <Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca>

Good afternoon Sean,

I tried your links again today and they did work now, so I have your material, thank you.

There has been no fraud or perjury in this matter, with respect to anything, including as you refer to it, "testimony or evidence". The City's lawyer simply sent to the Court a copy of your Statement of Claim with a covering letter as provided for in Rule 2.1.01 (6) (exhibit 1 in your Motion email). No evidence or testimony was filed, and so, obviously there has been no fraud or perjury with respect to evidence or testimony.

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com> Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 3:29 PM  
To: "Wright, Jeremy" <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca>  
Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>, info <info@cjcccm.ca>, "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>, "Smithers, Jean" <Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca>

Good afternoon, Jeremy,

You are incorrect. The 'covering letter' included defense counsel's original plea to the court which was made in violation of the Rules of Civil Procedure - no materials were ever filed with the Court on June 22nd, so no request for a decision under Rule 2.01.1(6) was ever made by defense counsel. This is confirmed by the email from Ashley Moniz Andrade, stating that as of the 25th of June NO MOTION MATERIALS had been filed - the Court was not hearing the request because it was never filed with the Court, and it was clearly indicated to Me that I would receive notice of any motion and fair opportunity to present opposing materials. Genevieve did not receive that email because she violated the Rules and ran into default waiting for a reply. This is shown by exhibits 3 and 4 in the Motion materials. I told Genevieve that the Court had responded to Me, and had not granted her request to not be noted in default, though I did not share the email with her. Genevieve also neglects to mention in her 'covering letter' that she sent a second email to follow up, showing that the Courts had chosen not to respond to her request outside of the rules of Civil Procedure, and she deliberately omitted this from her 'covering letter'. It is clearly misleading and not a True representation of the FACTS - that is fraud and perjury with intent to influence justice. Do You really think the Justice would have made the determination if she knew the Courts had already responded to Me with a different answer? Genevieve deliberately neglected to mention that I had received a reply, and that she had been instructed to file motion materials if she wished for the request to be made.

I am hereby as King of You to prove Your position by sharing with Me the Motion that was filed with the Court under Rule 2.01.1. There is NOTHING in Rule 2.01.1 that allows for defense counsel to privately petition the court without My knowledge.

Thanks, I look forward to Your reply,

Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**vonDehnVision** <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Fri, Sep 10, 2021 at 3:42 PM

To: "Wright, Jeremy" <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca>

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>, info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>, "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>, "Smithers, Jean" <Jean.Smithers@ottawa.ca>

Hello again,

Just to follow up, The Court of Record Will also be added to My Motion materials as proof of Your fraud and perjury on the Court of Record, and together they Will prove beyond any shadow of doubt that Genevieve did in fact commit perjury on a Court of Record, and no request to dismiss was filed with the Court by defense counsel on June 22nd. Finally, that also proves beyond a shadow of a doubt that whatever requisition letter was made to the justice, it was made long after the 22nd of June, and I would like to request a copy of that Motion filing with the Court.

Whatever took place between the justice and defense counsel was both outside of the Rules of Civil Procedure and outside of the Court process. Therefor, *both* are criminally liable - the justice for abdicating her duties to the Court, and defense counsel for false and misleading testimony in violation of the Rules by private pleadings with the Court and without notice to Me.

I am required to be Given Notice of any Actions defense counsel is taking. She also promised a statement of defense with her letter of intent to defend, then secretly motioned the court (privately) to dismiss by forwarding the letter to the Court to another justice.

Thank you, I look forward to Your reply. Who is lying, You or Ashley Moniz Andrade who instructed Me to serve You this notice of Motion? Somebody is criminally liable for willfully deceiving Me, and I don't believe the Courts would do that - but I know defense counsel would.

Thanks,

Sean

[Quoted text hidden]