



vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

CV-21-86803, Sean von Dehn and the city of Ottawa, Sana Abou-Arraj, Christine Amaro and Celia North

3 messages

vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug 31, 2021 at 6:23 AM

To: "Langlais, Geneviève" <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>, "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca, info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>

Dear Geneviève and Tasha,

Notice of Motion to Vacate the Order by Justice Sally A. Gomery is attached. Please advise Me if You Wish to oppose this Motion. If You do not respond before Friday, September 3rd, it Will be presumed this Motion is unopposed and this email Will be included in My Motion Record as affidavit of Service upon You.

Thank You,
Have a Blessed day,
Sean von Dehn,
King Sean,
House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
Kingdom of God,
On Her Majesty's Service

 **Notice of Motion - Google Docs.pdf**
76K

Langlais, Geneviève <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>

Tue, Aug 31, 2021 at 11:58 AM

To: vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Cc: "Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG)" <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>, "Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca" <Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>, info <info@cjc-ccm.ca>, "Fenner, Tasha" <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>, "Wright, Jeremy" <Jeremy.Wright@ottawa.ca>

Good morning,

we acknowledge receipt of your draft Order sent yesterday and your Notice of Motion sent today, August 31, 2021. Both documents are attached for ease of reference. We will be opposing this Motion.

We are of the view that, pursuant to the *Courts of Justice Act*, the Ruling made by Justice S. Gomery, on July 19, 2021 and attached hereto, is final.

We recommend you seek legal advice on this matter including the appropriate forum for any motion or appeal of the Ruling. I have attached potential legal resources that may be available to you.

Regards,

Geneviève Langlais
Legal Counsel - Conseillère juridique
City of Ottawa, Innovative Client Services Dept.
[110 Laurier Avenue West, 3rd floor](#)
[Ottawa K1P 1J1](#) (mail code 01-83)
tel. (613) 580-2424, ext. 22408

fax. (613) 560-1383

genevieve.langlais@ottawa.ca

CITY OF OTTAWA

This message is intended for the addressees only. It may contain confidential or privileged information. No rights to privilege have been waived. Any copying, retransmittal, taking of action in reliance on, or other use of the information in this communication by persons other than the addressees is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please reply to the sender by e-mail and delete or destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Si vous avez reçu le message par erreur, veuillez m'en aviser par téléphone (au numéro précité) ou par courriel, puis supprimer sans délai la version originale de la communication ainsi que toutes ses copies. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

From: vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2021 6:23 AM

To: Langlais, Geneviève <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>; Fenner, Tasha <Tasha.Fenner@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Ottawa SCJ Courts (MAG) <ottawa.scj.courts@ontario.ca>; Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca
<Derrick.Bert@ontario.ca>; info <info@cjcccm.ca>

Subject: CV-21-86803, Sean von Dehn and the city of Ottawa, Sana Abou-Arraj, Christine Amaro and Celia North

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

[Quoted text hidden]

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

4 attachments

 **Notice of Motion - Google Docs.pdf**
76K

 **Order to Vacate - Google Docs.pdf**
76K

 **List of Legal Resources.docx**
18K

 **21-86803 July 19 2021 Endorsement (dismissal of action).pdf**
102K

vonDehnVision <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: "Langlais, Geneviève" <Genevieve.Langlais@ottawa.ca>

Tue, Aug 31, 2021 at 3:53 PM

Good afternoon,

Thank You for Your prompt response. As much as I appreciate the condescending tone of Your email, it seems to Me that if Sally A. Gomery's decision were final, there would be no Motion for Me to Give You Notice of, and no Motion for You to oppose. The Motion was filed with the Court in Writing on Wednesday, July 28. I think what the Courts really Wish to know, is if You have anything to say in defense of your action. You commit fraud on a Court of Record with intent to unfairly influence justice, in violation of the Rules of Civil Procedure, and under penalty of perjury. I strongly recommend [reading the Motion received by the Court](https://vondehnvisuals.com/2021/07/28/motion-to-vacate-order-and-suspend-justice-sean-von-dehn-and-the-city-of-ottawa-sana-abou-arraj-christine-amaro-and-celia-north-cv-21-86803/). Those are the arguments You Will be opposing.

<https://vondehnvisuals.com/2021/07/28/motion-to-vacate-order-and-suspend-justice-sean-von-dehn-and-the-city-of-ottawa-sana-abou-arraj-christine-amaro-and-celia-north-cv-21-86803/>

Fraud and perjury are not admissible on a Court of Record in any jurisdiction. We are in a Common Law jurisdiction, and this Matter is before a Common Law Court on an International Court of Record. In Canada, false, misleading testimony on a Court of Record is also criminal offence. I'm not sure what the code or statute would be, but I'm confident a Judge Will. If the Order is Vacated, it all disappears - no harm, no foul. My *opinion* and *belief*, is that the Courts are Giving You an opportunity to undo what You did, which also absolves Sally. Otherwise, it Will appear as though Ashley Moniz Andrade was deliberately trying to deceive Me because she assured Me (on behalf of the Court) that no Motion materials had been filed as of June 25th.

The Court of Record Will show that You didn't file any Motion materials with the Court on June 22nd. So how did Sally get the Idea that You did? And how did she get the Idea that the Action was commenced on the 21st when the Court of Record and Statement of Claim show the Action was commenced on the 18th? You Will have to explain these things to a Court and these are the material facts. You Will certainly not be able to provide an affidavit of service of any intent to Motion the Court served upon Me. You continually violate Rule 1.09 of the Rules of Civil Procedure and I'm of the opinion that both as a lawyer and as a representative of the city of Ottawa, You should be aiming to set a better example. Canada's Courts are supposed to be all about transparency and fairness, and I was under the impression that the Rules of Civil Procedure were Created to protect that principle in Law and why Rule 1.09 stands alone. It's an important one.

You're the lawyer, My belief is that We are to come to an agreement as to how the Motion should be heard. I'm open to suggestions. Do We require a virtual conference call for the Motion hearing? Or do You Wish to Present a Written rebuttal to My [email] Motion (and as provided in [the link](#))? And is Your only argument that You believe the decision is final? Frankly, the irony of Your argument astounds Me.

My belief is that fraudulent, misleading testimony on a Court of Record is a criminal offence and is not admissible under any circumstance, and that the Order must be Vacated in the interest of Justice and the reputation of the Courts. You're correct, typically, a Judge's decision would be final because a Judge does not typically commit fraud and perjury on a Court of Record. The Court can't Vacate the Order until someone Motions the Court to let them know there *is* fraud and perjury on the Court of Record. So I would like to know if You are disputing the charge of false, misleading testimony and what material evidence You have to support Your arguments.

You are correct, however. If fraud, perjury and privately petitioning the Court in violation of the Rules of Civil Procedure is not considered interfering with Justice and My opportunity for a fair and impartial hearing, I am confident it Will be more than reasonable grounds for an appeal. I'm just trying to save the Courts unnecessary time and resources.

If the order is not Vacated, I Will also be filing a complaint with the bar regarding Your conduct, and Sally A. Gomery becomes liable for the wrongdoing done to Me by the Court by Way of Your influence, and You become liable for the harm You have caused to Sally A. Gomery and the reputation of the Court. I legitimately think it is in the best interest of both the Justice and the reputation of the Courts to Vacate the Order rather than risk the reputation and integrity of both. But I'm not a lawyer, what do I know?

I look forward to hearing Your suggestions and how You Wish to proceed. A little honesty and transparency from this point forward Will be most appreciated.

Regards,
Sean von Dehn,
King Sean,
House of von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
Kingdom of God
On Her Majesty's Service

[Quoted text hidden]